

Original Article

Association of SLC22A1, SLC47A1, and KCNJ11 polymorphisms with efficacy and safety of metformin and sulfonylurea combination therapy in Egyptian patients with type 2 diabetes

Aya Ahmed^{1,*}, Hany M. Elsadek², Sally M. Shalaby³, and Hanan M. Elnahas⁴

¹Department of Pharmacy Practice, Faculty of Pharmacy, Zagazig University, Egypt.
 ²Internal Medicine Department, Faculty of Medicine, Zagazig University, Egypt.
 ³Medical Biochemistry Department, Faculty of Medicine, Zagazig University, Egypt.
 ⁴Department of Pharmaceutical and Industrial Pharmacy, Faculty of Pharmacy, Zagazig University, Egypt.

Abstract

Background and purpose: Multidrug and toxin extrusion transporter 1 (MATE1), encoded by the SLC47A1 gene and single nucleotide polymorphisms of organic cation transport 1, may impact metformin's responsiveness and side effects. Inward-rectifier potassium channel 6.2 (Kir 6.2) subunits encoded by KCNJ11 may affect the response to sulfonylurea. This study aimed to evaluate the association between SLC22A1 rs72552763 and rs628031, SLC47A1 rs2289669 and KCNJ11 rs5219 genetic variations with sulfonylurea and metformin combination therapy efficacy and safety in Egyptian type 2 diabetes mellitus patients.

Experimental approach: This study was conducted on 100 cases taking at least one year of sulfonylurea and metformin combination therapy. Patients were genotyped *via* the polymerase chain reaction-restriction fragment length polymorphism technique. Then, according to their glycated hemoglobin level, cases were subdivided into non-responders or responders. Depending on metformin-induced gastrointestinal tract side effects incidence, patients are classified as tolerant or intolerant.

Findings/Results: KCNJ11 rs5219 heterozygous and homozygous mutant genotypes, SLC47A1 rs2289669 heterozygous and homozygous mutant genotypes (AA and AG), and mutant alleles of both polymorphisms were significantly related with increased response to combined therapy. Individuals with the SLC22A1 (rs72552763) GAT/del genotype and the SLC22A1 (rs628031) AG and AA genotypes were at a higher risk for metformin-induced gastrointestinal tract adverse effects.

Conclusion and implications: The results implied a role for SLC47A1 rs2289669 and KCNJ11 rs5219 in the responsiveness to combined therapy. SLC22A1 (rs628031) and (rs72552763) polymorphisms may be associated with increased metformin adverse effects in type 2 diabetes mellitus patients.

Keywords: Metformin and sulfonylurea combination therapy; Single nucleotide polymorphisms; Type 2 diabetes mellitus.

INTRODUCTION

Diabetes mellitus (DM) is a major public health problem worldwide, with 537 million persons aged 20-79 having DM worldwide in 2021 (1), more than 90% of these cases are type 2 DM (T2DM) (2). The International Diabetes Federation (IDF) listed Egypt as the second most diabetes-affected nation in North Africa and the Middle East (1). Treatment options for T2DM are many;

*Corresponding author: A. Ahmed Tel: +20-1129737704, Fax: +20-552303266 Email: ayamusallam8@gmail.com however, guidelines recommend beginning with monotherapy. Nevertheless, in many cases, monotherapy is insufficient, and combination therapy should be provided (3,4). However, monotherapy is useful for controlling blood glucose for only a few years; then, the patient must use a combination therapy (4).

Access this article online				
	Website: http://rps.mui.ac.ir			
	DOI: 10.4103/1735-5362.389949			

Many international guidelines, including those of the American Diabetes Association (ADA), and the European Association for the Study of Diabetes (EASD), support metformin as T2DM treatment for the first-line (4). Randomized clinical research and metaanalyses have demonstrated that adding sulfonylurea to metformin improves glucose control without compromising safety or tolerability (5-7). Response to oral hypoglycemics is highly variable, and genetic build-up can account for as much as 40% of this variation (8). Metformin requires organic cation transporter 1 (OCT1), encoded by solute carrier family 22 member 1 (SLC22A1), to enter hepatocytes, where it performs its main activity (9). Metformin decreases hepatic gluconeogenesis; however, the precise mechanism for enhancing insulin sensitivity is uncertain (10).Furthermore. reduced metformin absorption by OCT1 was thought to lead to greater metformin concentrations in the intestine, increasing the risk of gastrointestinal tract (GIT) side effects (11). Single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) of SLC22A1 impact the transporter function, causing inter-patient differences in metformin disposition and efficacy (12). Furthermore, rs628031 of the OCT1 gene (Met408Val, 1222A>G) was related to variability in metformin's therapeutic efficacy (13,14) and side effects (15).

It has been revealed that rs72552763 of the OCT1 gene (M420del, 1260GAT>del) is linked with altered metformin pharmacokinetics (16) also with metformin-induced and gastrointestinal adverse effects (17, 18).Metformin exposure and hepatic distribution were considerably reduced in carriers with M420del variant (19). Metformin is eliminated into the urine via multidrug and toxin extrusion transporter 1 (MATE1) which is expressed by the solute carrier family 47 member 1 (SLC47A1) gene (20). The rs2289669 G>A of SLC47A1 is related to variability in metformin treatment efficacy (21,22). On the other hand, SUs are insulin secretagogues that stimulate the closure of ATP-sensitive potassium channels (KATP) in pancreatic β -cell (23). The KATP channel consists of four sulfonylureas receptor 1 subunits and four pore-forming inwardrectifier potassium channel 6.2 (Kir 6.2) subunits (24). KCNJ11 encodes Kir 6.2 subunits, and its polymorphism has been linked to sulfonylurea responsiveness (25). KCNJ11 rs5219 E23K has been associated with the therapeutic effect of SUs (26).

Insufficient research has been done on Egyptian genetics. Numerous studies categorize Egyptians as Caucasians. However, some researchers have discovered differences in the frequency of specific genes between Egyptians and Caucasians (27-29). Therefore, this study aims to examine the relationship between SLC22A1 rs72552763 and rs628031, SLC47A1 rs2289669 and KCNJ11 rs5219 genetic variants with the metformin and sulfonylurea combination therapy efficacy and safety in Egyptian T2DM patients.

PATIENTS AND METHODS

Study design

This cross-sectional observational research was approved by the committee of medical ethics of Zagazig University (IRB No. 5234) and conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki. participants provided their informed consent in writing.

Patient selection

Between August 2021 and July 2022, 100 people receiving T2DM chronic treatment were recruited consecutively from the diabetes outpatient clinic of Zagazig University Hospital, Egypt.

Participants were to be at least 18 years old, unrelated Egyptians with sulfonylurea / metformin dual medication for a year before the study. Individuals who were pregnant or breastfeeding, had type 1 DM, chronic liver disease, malignancies, or chronic renal disease, were undertaking monotherapy with insulin, metformin, or triple treatment, or taking any drugs that interact with the OCT1 receptor were left out of the study.

Data collection

The required demographic information and patient data, including a complete medical and medication history, age, gender, weight, height, family history, physical activity, and duration of treatment, were collected. Subsequently, anti-diabetic medications were supplied to each patient, and their daily doses were obtained from the patient's profile or an interview conducted during a monthly visit.

In conjunction with metformin, glibenclamide, glimepiride, and gliclazide as sulfonylureas were prescribed. For compliance evaluation, patients were questioned about their dose instructions and treatment regimen for their given medicine.

Patient classification

Patients were categorized into responders (glycated hemoglobin (HbA1C) < 7%) and non-responders (HbA1C >7%) based on HbA1C value, as the ADA recommends a cutoff of 7% (30).

Furthermore, they were divided into metformin-tolerant and intolerant patients. Intolerant individuals had at least one of the following symptoms within a year after starting treatment: diarrhea, nausea, flatulence, abdominal pain, and vomiting.

Blood sampling and HbA1C measurement

Briefly, 5 mL of blood was divided into two ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA) tubes, one for glycated hemoglobin measurement and the other for DNA extraction. Then, HbA1C was determined using a Roche/Hitachi cobas c311 analyzer (Roche Diagnostics Ltd., Rotkreuz, Switzerland) that uses a turbidimetric inhibition immunoassay method and according to the manufacturer's instructions.

DNA extraction and genotyping

Using the Geneaid Genomic DNA Mini Kit (blood/cultured cell; Geneaid Biotech Ltd., New Taipei City, Taiwan), DNA was extracted. However, the samples were stored at -80 °C until genotyping. Genotyping for the four SNPs: rs72552763 (31), rs628031 (32), SLC47A1 rs2289669 (33), and KCNJ11 rs5219 (34) was carried out using polymerase chain reaction-restriction fragment length polymorphism (PCR-RFLP) method.

Amplification was accomplished using a PERKIN ELMER DNA thermal cycler 480 (Norwalk, CT 06856, USA). The PCR reaction mixtures (20 μ L) consisted of 5 pmol of each primer (Table 1; Beijing SBS Genetech Co., Ltd., Beijing, China), 500 ng of genomic DNA, and 2X TOPsimpleTM DyeMIX-nTaq PCR master mix (10 μ L; Enzynomics, Daejeon, Republic of Korea).

Table 1. PCR conditions and primers for genotyping of SLC22A1, SLC47A1, and KCNJ11.

Polymorphic site	Primers' sequences	PCR conditions	Restriction enzyme and fragment size (bp)	
SLC22A1 rs628031 A>G	(F) 5' TTTCTTCAGTCTCTGACTCATGCC 3' (R) 5' AAAAAACTTTGTAGACAAAGGTAGCACC 3'	35 Cycles: 30 s at 94 °C, 45 s at 63 °C, 1 min at 72 °C	MscI AA (mutant type): 397 GG (wild type): 210 and 187; AG (heterozygotes): 397, 210, and 187	
SLC22A1 rs72552763 GAT>del	(F) 5'AGGTTCACGGACTCTGTGCT 3' (R) 5'AAGCTGGAGTGTGCGATCT 3'	35 Cycles: 45 s at 93 °C, 45 s at 58 °C, 35 s at 72 °C	BspHI GAT/GAT (wild type): 403 and 197 del/del (mutant type): 600 GAT/del (heterozygotes): 600, 403, and 197	
SLC47A1 rs2289669 G>A	(F) 5' TCAGTTTCCACAGTAGCGTCG 3' (R) 5' GACACTGGA AGCCACACTGAA 3'	35 Cycles: 30 s at 95 °C, 30 s at 57 °C, 30 s at 72 °C	TaqI GG (wild type) 211 AA (mutant type): 190 GA (heterozygotes): 190 and 211	
KCNJ11 rs5219 C>T, E23K	(F) 5' GAATACGTCCTGACACGCCT 3' (R) 5' GCCAGCTGCACAGGAAGGACAT 3'	35 Cycles: 1 min at 95 °C, 1 min at 71 °C, 1 min at 72 °C	BanII EE (wild type): 178 KK (mutant type): 218 EK (heterozygotes): 218 and 178	

PCR, polymerase chain reaction; bp, base pair.

Then, 1 μ L of restriction endonuclease (New England Biolabs, Ipswich, Massachusetts, United States) was used to digest PCR products for 1 h at 37 °C. Then, 2% agarose gel dyed with ethidium was used to separate the PCR product. Subsequently, the gel was examined with an ultraviolet transilluminator. The used restriction enzymes were MscI for rs628031, BspHI for rs72552763, TaqI for rs2289669, and BanII for rs5219. Table 1 summarizes the precise locations of SNPs, primer sequences, and restriction enzymes.

Statistical analysis

Numerical variables were expressed as mean \pm SD, while qualitative variables were represented as numbers and percentages. T-test and Chi-square (χ^2) were used to compare groups' demographics. The Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium of genotype frequencies was examined, and any divergence was assessed for statistical significance using the χ^2 test. Odd ratios (ORs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were computed to assess the association between genetic variants and response or side effects. A *P*-value < 0.05 was

Table 2. Patients' characteristics.

regarded as statistically significant. Statistical analyses were conducted using Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) version 25.

RESULTS

Patient characteristics

Sixty-two percent of patients responded to combined therapy (62 patients). Except for the HbA1C level, which was lower in the responders group than in the non-responders group (6.56% versus 8.69%, P < 0.001), there were no statistically significant differences between the two groups regarding all other patient characteristics. Metformin side effects were noticed in 64 patients (64%). There were no significant variations in patient characteristics between the tolerant and intolerant groups. All patients' demographics are summarized in Table 2. The genotype and allele frequencies of the studied genes in the study population are illustrated in Table 3. The results of the allele variants of SLC22A1, SLC47A1, and KCNJ11 genes obtained from PCR-RFLP are shown in Figs. 1-4.

Characteristics Responders, n = 62 (62%)		Non-responders, n = 38 (38%)	<i>P</i> - value	Tolerant group, n = 36 (36%)	Intolerant group, n = 64 (64%)	<i>P-</i> value			
Gender: number (%)									
Male	21 (33.9%)	14 (36.8%)	0.76	12 (33.3%)	23 (35.9%)	0.79			
Female	41 (66.1%)	24 (63.2%)	0.70	24 (66.7%)	41 (6.1%)				
Age (year) Mean ± SD	54.45 ± 8.35	52.89 ± 9.08	0.38	51.83 ± 8.73	55.00 ± 8.429	.078			
Family history: number (%) (first-degree relatives)									
Yes	43 (69.4%)	24 (63.2%)	0.50	28 (77.8%)	39 (60.9%)	0.08			
No	19 (30.6%)	14 (36.8%)	0.52	8 (22.2%)	25 (39.1%)				
Physical activity: number (%	%)								
Active	17 (27.4%)	6 (15.8%)	0.10	5 (13.9%)	18 (28.1%)	0.10			
Inactive	45 (72.6%)	32 (84.2%)	0.18	31 (86.1%)	46 (71.9%)				
Treatment duration (y)	2.39 ± 1.39	2.83 ± 1.22	0.11	2.33 ± 1.07	2.69 ± 1.46	0.20			
BMI (Kg/m ²)	31.57 ± 3.24	32.14 ± 1.19	0.21	32.39 ± 2.16	31.45 ± 2.86	0.09			
Metformin dose (mg/day)	1341.9 ± 479.4	1506.58 ± 430.61	0.09	1422.2 ± 474.4	1394.5 ± 465.05	0.78			
Sulfonylurea: number (%)									
Glimepiride	54 (87.09%)	26 (68.42%)	0 0 7	30 (37.5%)	50 (62.5%)				
Gliclazide	5 (8.06%)	6 (15.78%)	0.07	4 (36.4%)	7 (63.6%)	0.66			
Glibenclamide	3(4.83%)	6 (15.78%)		2 (22.2%)	7 (77.8%)				
Sulphonylurea dose (mg/day)									
Glimepiride	3.518 ± 0.66	3.7692 ± 0.51	0.07	3.7 ± 0.59	3.54 ± 0.64	0.27			
Gliclazide	42 ± 16.43	45 ± 16.43	0.77	52.5 ± 15	38.5 ± 14.63	0.17			
Glibenclamide	4.166 ± 1.44	4.5833 ± 1.02	0.63	3.75 ± 1.76	4.6429 ± 0.944	0.34			
HbA1C (%)	6.56 ± 1.47	8.69 ± 0.62	< 0.001	7.03 ± 1.06	7.56 ± 1.61	0.05			

Polymorphism	Genotype frequency; n (%)			Allele freque	ele frequency; n (%)	
SLC22A1 (rs628031)	GG	AG	AA	G	A	
	39 (39)	44 (44)	17 (17)	122 (61)	78 (39)	
SLC22A1 (rs72552763)	GAT/GAT	GAT/del	del/del	GAT	del	
	71 (71)	24 (24)	5 (5)	166 (83)	34 (17)	
SLC47A1 (rs2289669)	GG	GA	AA	G	A	
	38 (38)	40 (40)	22 (22)	116 (58)	84 (42)	
KCNJ11 (rs5219)	EE	EK	KK	E	K	
	40 (40)	47 (47)	13 (13)	127(63.5)	73 (36.5)	

Table 3. Allele and genotype frequencies of SLC22A1, SLC47A1 and KCNJ11 polymorphisms in the study participants.



Fig. 1. Visualization of gel electrophoresis of SLC22A1 (rs628031) under UV light. Lane M: 100 bp ladder; lane 1: undigested sample (397 bp); lane 2: AA (homozygous mutant type, 397 bp); lane 3: GG (homozygous wild type, 210 bp, 187 bp); lane 4: AG (heterozygotes, 397 bp, 210 bp and 187 bp). bp, Base pair.



Fig. 2. Visualization of gel electrophoresis of SLC22A1 (rs72552763) under UV light. Lane M: 100 bp ladder; lane 1: GAT/del (heterozygotes, 600 bp, 403 bp, and 197 bp); lane 2: GAT/GAT (homozygous wild type, 403 bp and 197 bp); lane 3: del/del (homozygous mutant type, 600 bp); lane 4: undigested sample (600 bp). bp, Base pair.



Fig. 3. Visualization of gel electrophoresis of SLC47A1 (rs2289669) under UV light. Lane M: 100 bp ladder; lane 1: undigested sample (211 bp); lane 2: GG (homozygous wild type, 211 bp); lane 3: AA (homozygous mutant type, 190 bp); lane 4: GA (heterozygotes, 190 bp, 211 bp). bp, Base pair.



Fig. 4. Visualization of gel electrophoresis of KCNJ11 (rs5219) under UV light. Lane M: 100 bp ladder; lane 1: undigested sample (218 bp); lane 2: KK (homozygous mutant type, 218 bp); lane 3: EE (homozygous wild type, 178 bp); lane 4: EK (heterozygotes, 218, 178). bp, Base pair.

Association between SLC22A1, SLC47A1 and KCNJ11 gene polymorphisms and response

Table 4 provides the genotype and allele frequencies of gene polymorphisms for responders and non-responders. In each study group, all genotype frequencies were in with Hardy-Weinberg accordance the equilibrium. The findings suggest that the SLC47A1 rs2289669 and KCNJ11 rs5219 genetic variants are associated with a greater response to combination therapy. Compared to the rs2289669 GG genotype carriers, the GA and AA genotype carriers responded better to combination therapy. Relative to the rs5219 EE genotype carriers, the EK and KK genotype carriers responded better to combination therapy. The genetic variants SLC22A1

rs628031 and SLC22A1 rs72552763 were not associated with responsiveness to combination therapy.

Association between SLC22A1, SLC47A1 and KCNJ11 gene polymorphisms and metformin side effects:

Table 4 presents gene polymorphisms genotype and allele frequencies in tolerant and intolerant groups. AG alleles carriers and AA alleles carriers of rs628031 variants were more susceptible to metformin side effects than GG allele carriers. GAT/del allele carriers were more susceptible to metformin adverse effects than GAT/GAT allele carriers of rs72552763 variants. MATE1 rs2289669 did not show any association with metformin side effects.

Genotype and allele	Responder n (%)	Non responder n (%)	OR (95% CI)	<i>P-</i> value	Tolerant group n (%)	Intolerant group n (%)	OR (95% CI)	P- value
rs628031								
GG	22 (35.5)	17 (44.7)	1 (reference)		22 (61.1)	17 (26.6)	1 (reference)	
AG	32 (51.6)	12 (31.6)	2.1 (0.824 - 5.1)	0.122	10 (27.8)	34 (53.1)	4.4 (1.7-11.3)	0.002
AA	8 (12.9)	9 (23.7)	0.687 (0.219 - 2.1)	0.520	4 (11.1)	13 (20.3)	4.2 (1.1 - 15.2)	0.029
Allele								
G	76 (61.3)	46 (60.5)	1 (reference)		54 (75)	68 (53.3)	1 (reference)	
А	48 (38.7)	30 (39.5)	0.968 (0.540 - 1.7)	0.914	18 (25)	60 (46.9)	2.6 (1.4-5.0)	0.003
rs72552763								
GAT/GAT	43 (69.4)	28 (73.7)	1 (reference)		31 (86.1)	40 (62.5)	1 (reference)	
GAT/del	18 (29.0)	6 (15.8)	1.95 (0.69 - 5.5)	0.207	4 (11.1)	20 (31.3)	3.87(1.2 - 12.5)	0.023
del/del	1 (1.6)	4 (10.5)	0.163 (0.017 - 1.5)	0.113	1 (2.8)	4 (6.3)	3.10(.33 - 29.1)	0.322
Allele								
GAT	104 (83.9)	62 (81.6)	1 (reference)		66 (91.7)	100 (78.1)	1 (reference)	
del	20 (16.1)	14 (18.4)	.852(.402-1.8)	0.676	6 (8.3)	28 (21.9)	3.08(1.2-7.8)	0.018
rs2289669								
GG	16 (25.8)	22(57.9)	1 (reference)		17 (47.2)	21 (32.8)	1 (reference)	
GA	29 (46.8)	11 (28.9)	3.6 (1.4 - 9.3)	0.008	12 (33.3)	28 (43.8)	1.88 (0.74- 4.7)	0.180
AA	17 (27.4)	5 (13.2)	4.6 (1.4 - 15.3)	0.011	7 (19.4)	15 (23.4)	1.73 (0.57 - 5.2)	0.327
Allele								
G	61 (49.2)	55 (72.4)	1 (reference)		46 (63.9)	70 (54.7)	1(reference)	
А	63 (50.8)	21 (27.6)	2.7 (1.5 - 4.9)	0.001	26 (36.1)	58 (45.3)	1.46 (0.81 - 2.6)	0.207
rs5219								
EE	31 (50.0)	9 (23.7)	1 (reference)					
EK	26 (41.9)	21 (55.3)	0.35(.14 - 0.91)	0.033				
KK	5 (8.1)	8 (21.1)	0.18 (0.047- 0.69)	0.013				
Allele								
Е	88 (71.0)	39 (51.3)	1 (reference)					
K	36 (29.0)	37 (48.7)	0.43 (0.23 - 0.78)	0.006				

Table 4. Genotype and allele frequencies of SLC22A1, SLC47A1 and KCNJ11 genetic variants.

n, Number; OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval.

DISCUSSION

Metformin with sulfonylureas is one of the most frequently prescribed combination therapies for T2DM (7). Owing to genetic factors, oral anti-diabetic medication treatment outcomes vary considerably between (8). individuals This research studied the influence of two polymorphisms of SLC22A1 (rs628031 and rs72552763) on glycemic response and side effects of sulfonylurea/metformin combination therapy. No statistically significant association between SLC22A1 rs628031 and HbA1C reduction response sulfonylurea/metformin in to combination therapy has been identified in this

study. Similar results were obtained in Caucasians (35), Iranians (36), Japanese (12), and Indians (37). *In vitro* tests have shown that the rs628031 polymorphism has normal metformin uptake (16). Furthermore, it has no impact on OCT1 expression (38) which supports the results of the current study.

In contrast, Chinese patients with the rs628031 AA genotype saw a higher decrease in HbA1c after metformin therapy (13). Shikata *et al.* showed that rs628031 polymorphism is a weak positive predictor for metformin efficacy in Japanese patients (14). Furthermore, Reséndiz-Abarca *et al.* demonstrated that Mexican patients with the AA-rs628031 genotype showed higher HbA1c levels after

metformin therapy (39). Nevertheless, Seitz *et al.* hypothesize that rs628031 is in linkage disequilibrium with another SNP in the same gene (rs36056065), which could explain the rs628031 effect (40). Compared with the G allele, the A allele at the SLC22A1 rs628031 locus was associated with metformin-induced GIT adverse effects in the current study. Similarly, in a study involving 246 Latvian patients, Tarasova et al. explored that the rs628031 A allele was significantly associated with metformin's adverse effects (15).

Enterocyte membranes express OCT1 receptors (41,42). And if OCT1 plays a role in metformin absorption, inhibiting it will raise intestinal metformin levels (18). Meanwhile, the current study showed no association between SLC22A1 rs72552763 polymorphism sulfonylurea/metformin combination and therapy response. Similar results have been reported using the GoDARTS cohort in 1,531 T2DM patients (43). Additionally, Davis et al. revealed that the reduced function allele of rs72552763 SNP was not associated with metformin response in 171 diabetic patients (44).Recently, Ortega-Ayala et al. demonstrated that rs72552763 polymorphism was associated with neither HbA1c control nor plasma metformin concentrations in 103 Mexican patients taking either metformin alone or metformin and glibenclamide (45). What confirms the results of this study is that Christensen et al. found no impact of this polymorphism on metformin steady-state pharmacokinetics in 34 healthy individuals (46).

On the contrary, Shu et al. reported that metformin was less effective in lowering glucose surges following an oral glucose tolerance test in 12 healthy individuals with the M420del variant than it was in 8 individuals with the reference allele (16). In a second pharmacokinetic investigation, these same people have higher serum metformin concentrations and lower volume of distribution (47) which in mice is explained by a reduction in hepatic uptake (16).

The previous study examined healthy people who took only two doses of metformin and were tested for oral glucose response, whereas the current research was conducted on T2DM cases, and HbA1C was determined in

conjunction with prolonged metformin and sulfonylurea usage. This study found that GAT/del genotype carriers had a higher risk for metformin-induced GIT side effects compared to GAT/GAT carriers. Christensen et al. found that carriers of the GAT/del allele are characterized by lower metformin trough concentration, which is explained by several factors, including the lack of metformin intestinal absorption, as a result of having the reduced function allele, which supports our results (48). Similar results were obtained in the Genetics of Diabetes Audit and Research (GoDART) study, where subjects with two reduced-function alleles (G465R, G401S, R61C, M420del, C88R) had a greater risk of GIT intolerance than subjects with one or no defective allele (18). Additionally, a study involving 92 individuals conducted in Bosnia and Herzegovina revealed that each OCT1 reduced function allele at R61C and M420del increased the likelihood of GIT adverse effects by more than twofold (17).

On the contrary, a study of Latvian patients proved no association between the M420del genotype and metformin-induced GIT side effects (15). Differences in the results between prior and current research concerning the association between SLC22A1 polymorphisms (rs628031 and rs72552763) and the response metformin may be because most to studies involved metformin-naive patients on metformin monotherapy. In contrast, this study covered patients already receiving the combination of metformin and sulfonylurea. The current study found that the A allele in MATE1 rs2289669 SNP was associated with a metformin/sulfonvlurea better treatment response. These results are in line with research by Becker et al. which is a population cohort of 116 subjects and concluded that each A allele in the MATE1 rs2289669 SNP was associated with a 0.30% greater decline in HbA1c (49).

Tkáč *et al.* provide support for this hypothesis as they identified an association between the degree of HbA1c reduction and MATE1 rs2289669 A allele in 148 metformintreated patients (22). In addition, He *et al.* found that individuals with a variant genotype (AA) experienced a significantly higher decline in HbA1c levels and that these individuals had a greater area under the plasma concentration versus time curve but a poorer renal clearance (21). However, this may be because patients with the MATE1 rs2289669 AA genotype have decreased efflux of metformin in the kidney owing to a malfunctioning of the MATE1 transporter which will result in higher plasma concentration of metformin and perhaps to a greater reduction in blood glucose level and have a decreased efflux from the liver cells which leads to an increase in the concentration of metformin in it and a more potent suppression of the gluconeogenesis (49). On the contrary, Klen et al. found no association between HbA1c values and MATE1 rs2289669. The study was conducted in Slovenia and comprised 135 patients with a combination of sulfonylurea and metformin treatment for at least six months (50).

In accordance with a previous study, Xiao et al. did not establish a correlation between MATE1 rs2289669 polymorphism and metformin's glucose-lowering effect (51). In contrast to prior studies, the study design, sample size, treatment duration, and ethnicity were all potential factors that could have led to different outcomes. This study showed no association between the rs2289669 genotype and metformin-induced GIT side effects. Consistent with the study of Latvian patients, there was no association between the MATE1 rs2289669 genotype and metformin-induced GIT side effects (15). This study found an association between the KCNJ11 rs5219 E23K reduced variant and а response to metformin/sulfonylurea combination therapy. In accordance with a study comparing 43 patients with sulfonylurea-induced severe hypoglycemia to a control group of 54 patients revealed that the K allele was related to a greater HbA1c level and a decreased likelihood of severe hypoglycemia (52). El-Sisi et al. found that a 23K variant was associated with a higher incidence of secondary failure to sulfonylurea in Egyptian patients, which is consistent with the present investigation findings (53). This association was repeated in 525 Caucasian patients with T2DM (54).

A study by Nielsen et al. associated the E23K polymorphism with a reduced ability of glucose to trigger the release of insulin (55). In

accordance with this study, Sesti et al. suggest that E23K polymorphism may alter insulin secretion in response to sulfonylureas especially if the cells are previously stressed by a high glucose exposure which is the case in T2DM patients (54). Biochemical studies confirmed these results with the COS-1 cell line, which demonstrated that the E23K variant increased the openness of the KATP channels, decreased their sensitivity to the ATP and raised the threshold concentration for insulin secretion (56). Other studies explained the association between hyperglycemia and this polymorphism by decreased hyperglycemiainduced inhibition of glucagon secretion (57). In contrast, The United Kingdom Prospective Diabetes Study (UKPDS) included 364 participants and proved that there is no association between KCNJ11 polymorphisms and sulfonylurea responsiveness (58). This result was confirmed in a study comprising 156 individuals treated with sulfonylurea monotherapy or sulfonylurea/metformin combination therapy (59).

The variability in current and previous results may be raised from the different methods of outcome evaluation, type of sulfonylurea, treatment duration, different races, and clinical characteristics of patients. Notably, the small sample size, the diversity in treatment duration among the included patients, and the use of different sulfonylurea medications are among the work limitations.

CONCLUSION

SLC22A1 (rs628031 and rs72552763) polymorphisms are associated with increased risk for metformin-induced GIT side effects while SLC47A1 rs2289669 and KCNJ11 rs5219 polymorphisms are associated with the responsiveness of metformin/sulfonylurea combination therapy in Egyptian patients with T2DM. Further research with a bigger sample size and additional SNPs in these genes are required to confirm the influence of these genetic variations on metformin/sulfonylurea combination therapy in Egyptian patients and to provide more compelling evidence for their value as indicators of anti-diabetic drug response.

Conflicts of interest statement

The authors declared no conflicts of interest in this study.

Authors' contributions

A. Ahmed drafted the manuscript and helped with the literature search; H. Elnahas contributed to the conception and design of the study; S.M. Shalaby conducted all experiments analyzed and interpreted data; H.M. Elsadek contributed to data interpretation and critical revision of the manuscript. All authors read and approved the finalized article.

REFERENCES

- 1. International Diabetes Federation. IDF Diabetes Atlas. 10th ed. 2021. Available at: https://www.diabetesatlas.org
- 2. Zheng Y, Ley SH, Hu FB. Global aetiology and epidemiology of type 2 diabetes mellitus and its complications. Nat Rev Endocrinol. 2018; 14(2):88-98.

DOI: 10.1038/nrendo.2017.151.

3. Cai X, Gao X, Yang W, Han X, Ji L. Efficacy and safety of initial combination therapy in treatmentnaïve type 2 diabetes patients: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Diabetes Ther. 2018;9(5): 1995-2014.

DOI: 10.1007/s13300-018-0493-2.

- American Diabetes Association Professional Practice Committee.
 Pharmacologic approaches to glycemic treatment: standards of medical care in diabetes-2022. Diabetes Care. 2022;45 (Suppl 1): S125-S143.
 - DOI: 10.2337/dc22-S009.
- Bolen S, Tseng E, Hutfless S, Segal JB, Suarez-Cuervo C, Berger Z, *et al.* Diabetes medications for adults with type 2 diabetes: an update. USA: Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality; 2016. pp. ES1-ES27.

PMID: 27227214.

- Ahrén B, Johnson SL, Stewart M, Cirkel DT, Yang F, Perry C, *et al.* HARMONY 3: 104-week randomized, double-blind, placebo- and active-controlled trial assessing the efficacy and safety of albiglutide compared with placebo, sitagliptin, and glimepiride in patients with type 2 diabetes taking metformin. Diabetes Care. 2014;37(8):2141-2148. DOI: 10.2337/dc14-0024.
- Kim HS, Kim DM, Cha BS, Park TS, Kim KA, Kim DL, et al. Efficacy of glimepiride/metformin fixeddose combination vs metformin uptitration in type 2 diabetic patients inadequately controlled on low-dose metformin monotherapy: a randomized, open label, parallel group, multicenter study in Korea. J Diabetes Investig. 2014;5(6):701-708. DOI: 10.1111/jdi.12201.

- Ordelheide AM, Hrabě de Angelis M, Häring HU, Staiger H. Pharmacogenetics of oral antidiabetic therapy. Pharmacogenomics. 2018;19(6):577-587. DOI: 10.2217/pgs-2017-0195.
- Liang X, Giacomini KM. Transporters involved in metformin pharmacokinetics and treatment response. J Pharm Sci. 2017;106(9):2245-2250. DOI: 10.1016/j.xphs.2017.04.078.
- Pawlyk AC, Giacomini KM, McKeon C, Shuldiner AR, Florez JC. Metformin pharmacogenomics: current status and future directions. Diabetes. 2014;63(8):2590-2599. DOI: 10.2337/db13-1367.
- 11. Dawed AY, Zhou K, van Leeuwen N, Mahajan A, Robertson N, Koivula R, *et al.* Variation in the plasma membrane monoamine transporter (PMAT) (encoded by SLC29A4) and organic cation transporter 1 (OCT1) (Encoded by SLC22A1) and gastrointestinal intolerance to metformin in type 2 diabetes: an IMI DIRECT study. Diabetes Care. 2019;42(6):1027-1033. DOI: 10.2337/dc18-2182.
- 12. Chen L, Takizawa M, Chen E, Schlessinger A, Segenthelar J, Choi JH, *et al.* Genetic polymorphisms in organic cation transporter 1 (OCT1) in Chinese and Japanese populations exhibit altered function. J Pharmacol Exp Ther. 2010;335(1):42-50. DOI: 10.1124/jpet.110.170159.
- 13. Zhou Y, Ye W, Wang Y, Jiang Z, Meng X, Xiao Q, et al. Genetic variants of OCT1 influence glycemic response to metformin in Han Chinese patients with type-2 diabetes mellitus in Shanghai. Int J Clin Exp Pathol. 2015;8(8):9533-9542. PMID: 26464716.
- 14. Shikata E, Yamamoto R, Takane H, Shigemasa C, Ikeda T, Otsubo K, *et al.* Human organic cation transporter (OCT1 and OCT2) gene polymorphisms and therapeutic effects of metformin. J Hum Genet. 2007;52(2):117-122.

DOI: 10.1007/s10038-006-0087-0.

15. Tarasova L, Kalnina I, Geldnere K, Bumbure A, Ritenberga R, Nikitina-Zake L, *et al.* Association of genetic variation in the organic cation transporters OCT1, OCT2 and multidrug and toxin extrusion 1 transporter protein genes with the gastrointestinal side effects and lower BMI in metformin-treated type 2 diabetes patients. Pharmacogenet Genomics. 2012;22(9):659-666.

DOI: 10.1097/FPC.0b013e3283561666.

- 16. Shu Y, Sheardown SA, Brown C, Owen RP, Zhang S, Castro RA, *et al*. Effect of genetic variation in the organic cation transporter 1 (OCT1) on metformin action. J Clin Invest. 2007;117(5):1422-1431. DOI: 10.1172/jci30558.
- 17. Dujic T, Causevic A, Bego T, Malenica M, Velija-Asimi Z, Pearson ER, *et al.* Organic cation transporter 1 variants and gastrointestinal side effects of metformin in patients with type 2 diabetes. Diabet Med. 2016;33(4):511-514. DOI: 10.1111/dme.13040.
- Dujic T, Zhou K, Donnelly LA, Tavendale R, Palmer CN, Pearson ER. Association of organic cation transporter 1 with intolerance to metformin in

type 2 diabetes: a GoDARTS study. Diabetes. 2015;64(5):1786-1793. DOI: 10.2337/db14-1388.

- 19. Sundelin E, Gormsen LC, Jensen JB, Vendelbo MH, Jakobsen S, Munk OL, *et al.* Genetic polymorphisms in organic cation transporter 1 attenuates hepatic metformin exposure in humans. Clin Pharmacol Ther. 2017;102(5):841-848. DOI: 10.1002/cpt.701.
- 20. Meyer zu Schwabedissen HE, Verstuyft C, Kroemer HK, Becquemont L, Kim RB. Human multidrug and toxin extrusion 1 (MATE1/SLC47A1) transporter: functional characterization, interaction with OCT2 (SLC22A2), and single nucleotide polymorphisms. Am J Physiol Renal Physiol. 2010;298(4):F997-F 1005.

DOI: 10.1152/ajprenal.00431.2009.

- 21. He R, Zhang D, Lu W, Zheng T, Wan L, Liu F, et al. SLC47A1 gene rs2289669 G>A variants enhance the glucose-lowering effect of metformin via delaying its excretion in Chinese type 2 diabetes patients. Diabetes Res Clin Pract. 2015;109(1):57-63. DOI: 10.1016/j.diabres.2015.05.003.
- 22. Tkáč I, Klimčáková L, Javorský M, Fabianová M, Schroner Z, Hermanová H, *et al.* Pharmacogenomic association between a variant in SLC47A1 gene and therapeutic response to metformin in type 2 diabetes. Diabetes Obes Metab. 2013;15(2):189-191. DOI: 10.1111/j.1463-1326.2012.01691.x.
- 23. Gribble FM, Reimann F. Sulphonylurea action revisited: the post-cloning era. Diabetologia. 2003;46(7):875-891.

DOI: 10.1007/s00125-003-1143-3.

- 24. Walczewska-Szewc K, Nowak W. Structural determinants of insulin release: disordered n-terminal tail of Kir6.2 affects potassium channel dynamics through interactions with sulfonylurea binding region in a SUR1 partner. J Phys Chem B. 2020;124(29):6198-6211. DOI: 10.1021/acs.jpcb.0c02720.
- 25. Li Q, Chen M, Zhang R, Jiang F, Wang J, Zhou J, et al. KCNJ11 E23K variant is associated with the therapeutic effect of sulphonylureas in Chinese type 2 diabetic patients. Clin Exp Pharmacol Physiol. 2014;41(10):748-754. DOI:10.1111/1440.1001.12000

DOI: 10.1111/1440-1681.12280.

- 26. Javorsky M, Klimcakova L, Schroner Z, Zidzik J, Babjakova E, Fabianova M, *et al.* KCNJ11 gene E23K variant and therapeutic response to sulfonylureas. Eur J Intern Med. 2012;23(3):245-249. DOI: 10.1016/j.ejim.2011.10.018.
- 27. Knapp S, Zakaria Z, Hashem M, Zaghla H, Khakoo SI, Waked I, *et al.* Influence of IFNL3.rs12979860 and IFNL4.ss469415590 polymorphism on clearance of hepatitis C virus infection among Egyptians. Hepatol Int. 2015;9(2):251-257. DOI: 10.1007/s12072-015-9619-z.
- 28. Khalil BM, Shahin MH, Solayman MH, Langaee T, Schaalan MF, Gong Y, *et al.* Genetic and nongenetic factors affecting clopidogrel response in the Egyptian population. Clin Transl Sci. 2016;9(1):23-28. DOI: 10.1111/cts.12383.
- 29. Shahin MH, Khalifa SI, Gong Y, Hammad LN, Sallam MT, El Shafey M, et al. Genetic and

nongenetic factors associated with warfarin dose requirements in Egyptian patients. Pharmacogenet Genomics. 2011;21(3):130-135.

DOI: 10.1097/FPC.0b013e3283436b86.

- American Diabetes Association Professional Practice Committee. Glycemic targets: standards of medical care in diabetes-2022. Diabetes Care. 2022;45 (Suppl 1):S83-S96. DOI: 10.2337/dc22-S006.
- 31. Ningrum VD, Istikharah R, Firmansyah R. Allele frequency of SLC22A1 Met420del metformin main transporter encoding gene among Javanese-Indonesian population. Open Access Maced J Med Sci. 2019;7(3):378-383. DOL 10.2000/ 2010.0027

DOI: 10.3889/oamjms.2019.087.

- 32. Umamaheswaran G, Praveen RG, Arunkumar AS, Das AK, Shewade DG, Adithan C. Genetic analysis of OCT1 gene polymorphisms in an Indian population. Indian J Hum Genet. 2011;17(3):164-168. DOI: 10.4103/0971-6866.92094.
- 33. Ningrum V, Ikawati Z, Sadewa A, Ikhsan R. Allele frequencies of two main metformin transporter genes: SLC22A1 rs628031 A>G and SLC47A1 rs2289669 G>A among the Javanese population in Indonesia. Curr Pharmacogenomics Person Med. 2017;15(2):121-128.

DOI: 10.2174/1875692115666170706113120.

34. Ezenwaka C, Kalloo R, Uhlig M, Schwenk R, Eckel J. The E23K variant in the Kir6.2 subunit of the ATP-sensitive K⁺ channel does not augment impaired glucose tolerance in Caribbean subjects with a family history of type 2 diabetes. J Endocrinol. 2005;185(3):439-444.
DOL: 10.1077/jec.1.0(117)

DOI: 10.1677/joe.1.06117.

- 35. Becker ML, Visser LE, van Schaik RHN, Hofman A, Uitterlinden AG, Stricker BHC. Genetic variation in the organic cation transporter 1 is associated with metformin response in patients with diabetes mellitus. Pharmacogenomics J. 2009;9(4):242-247. DOI: 10.1038/tpj.2009.15.
- 36. Shokri F, Ghaedi H, Ghafouri Fard S, Movafagh A, Abediankenari S, Mahrooz A, *et al.* Impact of ATM and SLC22A1 polymorphisms on therapeutic response to metformin in Iranian diabetic patients. Int J Mol Cell Med. 2016;5(1):1-7.
- 37. Sur D, editor A tale of genetic variation in the human SLC22A1 gene encoding OCT1 among type 2 diabetes mellitus population groups of West Bengal, India. J Mol Genet Med 2015;9(3):102. DOI: 10.4172/1747-0862.C1.010.
- 38. Nies AT, Koepsell H, Winter S, Burk O, Klein K, Kerb R, et al. Expression of organic cation transporters OCT1 (SLC22A1) and OCT3 (SLC22A3) is affected by genetic factors and cholestasis in human liver. Hepatology. 2009;50(4):1227-1240. DOI: 10.1002/hep.23103.
- 39. Reséndiz-Abarca CA, Flores-Alfaro E, Suárez-Sánchez F, Cruz M, Valladares-Salgado A, Del Carmen Alarcón-Romero L, *et al.* Altered glycemic control associated with polymorphisms in the SLC22A1 (OCT1) gene in a Mexican population with type 2 diabetes mellitus treated with metformin: a cohort study. J Clin Pharmacol. 2019;59(10):1384-1390.

DOI: 10.1002/jcph.1425.

- 40. Seitz T, Stalmann R, Dalila N, Chen J, Pojar S, Dos Santos Pereira JN, *et al.* Global genetic analyses reveal strong inter-ethnic variability in the loss of activity of the organic cation transporter OCT1. Genome Med. 2015;7(1):56,1-23. DOI: 10.1186/s13073-015-0172-0.
- 41. Müller J, Lips KS, Metzner L, Neubert RH, Koepsell H, Brandsch M. Drug specificity and intestinal membrane localization of human organic cation transporters (OCT). Biochem Pharmacol. 2005;70(12):1851-1860. DOI: 10.1016/j.bcp.2005.09.011.
- 42. Han TK, Everett RS, Proctor WR, Ng CM, Costales CL, Brouwer KL, *et al.* Organic cation transporter 1 (OCT1/mOct1) is localized in the apical membrane of Caco-2 cell monolayers and enterocytes. Mol Pharmacol. 2013;84(2):182-189. DOI: 10.1124/mol.112.084517.
- 43. Zhou K, Donnelly LA, Kimber CH, Donnan PT, Doney ASF, Leese G, *et al.* Reduced-function SLC22A1 polymorphisms encoding organic cation transporter 1 and glycemic response to metformin: a GoDARTS study. Diabetes. 2009;58(6):1434-1439. DOI: 10.2337/db08-0896.
- 44. Davis R, Giacomini K, Yee SW, Jenkins G, McCarty C, Wilke R. PS1-10: Response to metformin and genetic variants of organic cation and multidrug and toxin extrusion transporters. Clin Med Res. 2010;8(3-4):191.

DOI: 10.3121/cmr.2010.943.ps1-10.

- 45. Ortega-Ayala A, Rodríguez-Rivera NS, Andrés F, A LL, Pérez-Silva E, Espinosa-Sánchez AG, *et al.* Pharmacogenetics of metformin transporters suggests no association with therapeutic inefficacy among diabetes type 2 Mexican patients. Pharmaceuticals (Basel). 2022;15(7):774,1-22. DOI: 10.3390/ph15070774.
- 46. Christensen MMH, Højlund K, Hother-Nielsen O, Stage TB, Damkier P, Beck-Nielsen H, *et al.* Steadystate pharmacokinetics of metformin is independent of the OCT1 genotype in healthy volunteers. Eur J Clin Pharmacol. 2015;71(6):691-697. DOI: 10.1007/s00228-015-1853-8.
- 47. Shu Y, Brown C, Castro RA, Shi RJ, Lin ET, Owen RP, *et al.* Effect of genetic variation in the organic cation transporter 1, OCT1, on metformin pharmacokinetics. Clin Pharmacol Ther. 2008;83(2):273-280.

DOI: 10.1038/sj.clpt.6100275.

- 48. Christensen MM, Brasch-Andersen C, Green H, Nielsen F, Damkier P, Beck-Nielsen H, et al. The pharmacogenetics of metformin and its impact on plasma metformin steady-state levels and glycosylated hemoglobin A1c. Pharmacogenet Genomics. 2011;21(12):837-850. DOI: 10.1097/FPC.0b013e32834c0010.
- 49. Becker ML, Visser LE, van Schaik RH, Hofman A, Uitterlinden AG, Stricker BH. Genetic variation in the multidrug and toxin extrusion 1 transporter protein influences the glucose-lowering effect of metformin in patients with diabetes: a preliminary study. Diabetes. 2009;58(3):745-749. DOI: 10.2337/db08-1028.

- 50. Klen J, Goričar K, Janež A, Dolžan V. The role of genetic factors and kidney and liver function in glycemic control in type 2 diabetes patients on longterm metformin and sulphonylurea cotreatment. Biomed Res Int. 2014;2014:934729,1-8. DOI: 10.1155/2014/934729.
- 51. Xiao D, Guo Y, Li X, Yin JY, Zheng W, Qiu XW, et al. The impacts of SLC22A1 rs594709 and SLC47A1 rs2289669 polymorphisms on metformin therapeutic efficacy in Chinese type 2 diabetes patients. Int J Endocrinol. 2016;2016:4350712,1-8. DOI: 10.1155/2016/4350712.
- 52. Holstein A, Hahn M, Stumvoll M, Kovacs P. The E23K variant of KCNJ11 and the risk for severe sulfonylurea-induced hypoglycemia in patients with type 2 diabetes. Horm Metab Res. 2009;41(5):387-390.

DOI: 10.1055/s-0029-1192019.

- 53. El-Sisi AE, Hegazy SK, Metwally SS, Wafa AM, Dawood NA. Effect of genetic polymorphisms on the development of secondary failure to sulfonylurea in egyptian patients with type 2 diabetes. Ther Adv Endocrinol Metab. 2011;2(4):155-164. DOI: 10.1177/2042018811415985.
- 54. Sesti G, Laratta E, Cardellini M, Andreozzi F, Del Guerra S, Irace C, *et al.* The E23K variant of KCNJ11 encoding the pancreatic beta-cell adenosine 5'triphosphate-sensitive potassium channel subunit Kir6.2 is associated with an increased risk of secondary failure to sulfonylurea in patients with type 2 diabetes. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2006;91(6):2334-2339.

DOI: 10.1210/jc.2005-2323.

- 55. Nielsen EMD, Hansen L, Carstensen B, Echwald SM, Drivsholm T, Glümer C, *et al.* The E23K variant of Kir6.2 associates with impaired post-OGTT serum insulin response and increased risk of type 2 diabetes. Diabetes. 2003;52(2):573-577. DOI: 10.2337/diabetes.52.2.573.
- 56. Schwanstecher C, Meyer U, Schwanstecher M. K(IR)6.2 polymorphism predisposes to type 2 diabetes by inducing overactivity of pancreatic betacell ATP-sensitive K(+) channels. Diabetes. 2002;51(3):875-879.

DOI: 10.2337/diabetes.51.3.875.

57. Tschritter O, Stumvoll M, Machicao F, Holzwarth M, Weisser M, Maerker E, *et al.* The prevalent Glu23Lys polymorphism in the potassium inward rectifier 6.2 (KIR6.2) gene is associated with impaired glucagon suppression in response to hyperglycemia. Diabetes. 2002;51(9):2854-2860.

DOI: 10.2337/diabetes.51.9.2854.

58. Gloyn AL, Hashim Y, Ashcroft SJ, Ashfield R, Wiltshire S, Turner RC. Association studies of variants in promoter and coding regions of beta-cell ATP-sensitive K-channel genes SUR1 and Kir6.2 with type 2 diabetes mellitus (UKPDS 53). Diabet Med. 2001;18(3):206-212.

DOI: 10.1046/j.1464-5491.2001.00449.x.

59. Klen J, Dolžan V, Janež A. CYP2C9, KCNJ11 and ABCC8 polymorphisms and the response to sulphonylurea treatment in type 2 diabetes patients. Eur J Clin Pharmacol. 2014;70(4):421-428. DOI: 10.1007/s00228-014-1641-x.